(c) whether there are plans to put up sea wave-energy plants on Indian sea shores; and

(d) if so, what are the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF SCIENCE AND TECH NOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF ELEC TRONICS AND DEPARTMENT OF OCEAN DEVELOPMENT AND MINI STER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI P. R. KUMARAMANGALAM): (a) Yes, Sir. The Department of Ocean Development in collaboration with the Ocean Engineering Centre of IIT, Madras has succeeded in developing the technology for generation of electricity from sea waves in an experimental 150 KW wave power plant at Vizhinjam harbour, Kerala.

(b) to (d) Yes, Sir. Techno-economic feasibility studies are in progress for setting up of about 1.5 MW breakwater integrated wave energy plants at Thang-ssery, Kerala and 1.5 MW at Mus point, Car Nicobar islands.

Exploitation of migrant workers from Orissa

*425. SHRI PRAVAT KUMAR SAMANTARAY:
SHRI SJARADA MOHANTY:

Will the Minister of LABOUR be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware that a large number of migrant labourers from Orissa known as 'Dadan' labourers, are exploited outside the State; and

(b) if so, whether Government contemplate to have a coordination cell to tackle the problems arising out of migrant labourers from different States?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF COAL WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF MINISTER OF THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR (SHRI P. A. SANGMA): (a) The Inter-State Migrant Workmen (Regulation of Employment and Conditios of Service) Act, 1979 provides for adequate safeguards for the migrant workmen. No specific complaint regarding exploitation off Dadan Labourers (i.e. migrant workmenl has been received in the Ministry in recent past.

(b) No, Sir.

Action against drug companies

*426. SHRI SANTOSH KUMAR SAHU: Wll the PRIME MINISTER be pleased ot state:

(a) whether it is a fact that no legal action has been taken under the DPCO, 79 and DPCO, 87 against M/s. Strancare or M/s. Ranbaxy for over charging for their p-oduct Brustan, against M/s. E. Merck for their products Emflam and Albert David for their Anaflam which are identical formulations like combiflam, during November 1986 to December 1988, has been done in the case of M/s. Carews Pharmaceuticals;

(b) if so, what are the reasons therefor; and

(c) whether Government are taking any action in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) to (c) For determining the overcharged amount data in regard to production, sales, and price charged from

time to time, have been called from the companies and the matter is being constantly pursued. The current status of action taken is as under:

S. No.	Name of Product & Company	Present position of the examination
1	Brustan (Stancare-a division of M/s. Ranbaxy)	The case came to notice in August, 1989. After repeated letters to M/s. Ranbaxy and with the help of State Drug Controller, Delhi, reply was received in March 1992. In the meantime based on an application of the Comapany price was fixed in October '89. M/s. Ranbaxy have taken the plea that at the time of launching their product in Nov'85, they had applied to BICP for price fixation as required under DPCO 1979. However, no price approval was received by the company within 120 days, as such, as stipulated in para 14(3) of DPCO, 1979, the company started marketing the product at the price applied for. After getting the necessary documentary evidence Government would take legal action on the alleged over charging from December, 1985 to October, 1989.
2	Emflam Plus (E. Merck)	This case came to the notice of the Govt. in August, 1989. The company had applied to the BICP for price fixation on 17-11-1987 before alunching the product in April, 1988. Price was fixed on 1st December, 1988 after the issue regarding categorisation of the formulation was resolved. *Prima Facie* case of over-charging is not established.
3	Anaflam (M/s. Vax Institute Laboratories Ltd. and arketed by M/s. Albert David)	The case came to notice in March, 1990 After repeated reminders to the company and with the assistance of Commissioner FDA, West Bengal, a reply could be extracted from the company in March, 1992 The company has stated that no price approval was taken on the presumption that it being a SSI unit this product is exempt from price control. The legal position in this regard has been clarified to the company in April '92. The company has been asked to furnish immediately the data of producti sales and price charged. Commissioner FDA West Bengal has simultaneously been quested to get the information expedited If no data is received prosecution will be considered.

आकाशवाणी के मणिपुर केन्द्र से हिंदी गानों का प्रसारण

*427. **श्री महेन्द्र सिंह लाठर :**

श्री सत्य प्रकाश मालवीय :

क्या सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या सरकार को इस बात की जानकारी है कि आकाशवाणी के मणिपुर, केन्द्र से जुलाई, 1992 से हिंदी गानों का प्रसारण बन्द कर दिया गया है;

(ख) यदि हां, तो इस तरह का निर्णय किस नियम के तहत लिया गया है और क्या यह राजभाषा अधिनियम, 1963 का उल्लंघन है; और